

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 03-2053**

---

DAWN FIGMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

POWERS, Police Chief; OFFICER DOWNEY; MR.  
ANDERSON; CITY OF FREDERICKSBURG, VIRGINIA;  
MARY WASHINGTON COLLEGE,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

**No. 03-2109**

---

DAWN FIGMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

POWERS, Police Chief; OFFICER DOWNEY; MR.  
ANDERSON; CITY OF FREDERICKSBURG, VIRGINIA;  
MARY WASHINGTON COLLEGE,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

No. 03-2207

---

DAWN FIGMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

POWERS, Police Chief; OFFICER DOWNEY; MR.  
ANDERSON; CITY OF FREDERICKSBURG, VIRGINIA;  
MARY WASHINGTON COLLEGE,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Virginia, at Richmond. Henry E. Hudson, District Judge.  
(CA-03-536)

---

Submitted: December 11, 2003

Decided: December 19, 2003

---

Before NIEMEYER and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Dawn Figman, Appellant Pro Se. Jennifer Lee Parrish, ROBERTS, ASHBY  
& PARRISH, Fredericksburg, Virginia; James Christian Stuchell,  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for  
Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Dawn Figman appeals the district court's orders denying her second motion for leave to amend her civil rights complaint, granting defendants' motions to dismiss the complaint, and denying her motion for a new trial. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Figman v. Powers, No. CA-03-536 (E.D. Va. July 29, 2003; Aug. 8, 2003 & Aug. 27, 2003). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED